Submission Packet

MKULTRA, Trauma-Based Behavioral Control, and the Unfinished Question of Nazi Continuity

June 30, 2026

Submitted by	S. Douglas Woodward Author, Descent Into Evil Lakeville, Minnesota
Submitted to	The Honorable Anna Paulina Luna Chairwoman, Task Force on the Declassification of Federal Secrets Committee on Oversight and Government Reform U.S. House of Representatives
Subject	MKULTRA, Trauma-Based Behavioral Control, and Possible Nazi Continuity
cc	Dr. Preston Bailey Ms. Kathryn Holman

Transmittal Note

Dear Chairwoman Luna and Members of the Task Force,

Please accept the enclosed open letter and supporting attachments for consideration as the Task Force continues its inquiry into MKULTRA and related programs involving mind control, behavioral modification, and unlawful experimentation.

I respectfully submit these materials in the hope that they may assist Congress in examining whether trauma-based behavioral control, dissociation, child-subject experimentation, and possible postwar Nazi-continuity evidence deserve separate review within the broader MKULTRA inquiry.

Respectfully,

S. Douglas Woodward
Author, Descent Into Evil
Lakeville, Minnesota

Enclosures: Open Letter; Attachment A; Attachment B

An Open Letter to Chairwoman Anna Paulina Luna and the Task Force on the Declassification of Federal Secrets

From: S. Douglas Woodward, Author, Descent Into Evil: The Nazi Invasion of America After World War II and Its Continuing Impact Today, Lakeville, Minnesota

Subject: MKULTRA, Trauma-Based Behavioral Control, and the Unfinished Question of Nazi Continuity

June 30, 2026

Dear Chairwoman Luna and Members of the Task Force,

I am writing publicly and respectfully to commend the Task Force on the Declassification of Federal Secrets for reopening the question of MKULTRA and related programs involving mind control, behavioral modification, and unlawful experimentation upon unwitting citizens.

For decades, the public has associated MKULTRA primarily with LSD, hallucinogens, hypnosis, and the search for a reliable "truth serum." That emphasis is understandable. The drug-testing abuses were real, unlawful, and morally indefensible. They involved deception, non-consensual experimentation, vulnerable subjects, and the misuse of medical and scientific authority in the name of national security.

But MKULTRA was never merely a story about drugs.

The deeper question was whether human memory, loyalty, identity, behavior, and moral agency could be altered, fractured, redirected, or controlled. That question leads beyond chemistry. It leads into trauma.

I respectfully urge the Task Force to consider whether MKULTRA and related programs explored trauma-based behavioral control, including the deliberate creation or exploitation of dissociation under conditions of fear, captivity, abuse, social isolation, sexual coercion, hypnosis, dependency, and threat.

This may be one of the most important unanswered questions in the entire MKULTRA story.

Under extreme and repeated trauma, especially in childhood, the human mind may dissociate as a survival mechanism. In severe cases, this can involve compartmentalized memory, "missing time," altered states of consciousness, or distinct ego states. Modern psychiatry recognizes Dissociative Identity Disorder, formerly known as Multiple Personality Disorder, though the subject remains controversial and often misunderstood.

The controversy itself should not deter congressional inquiry. It should sharpen it.

If dissociation can occur naturally as a defense against intolerable trauma, then Congress should ask whether intelligence-linked researchers, contractors, military personnel, psychiatrists, or private institutions ever attempted to induce, study, exploit, or operationalize that dissociation for behavioral control.

The Task Force need not accept every claim made by every author, therapist, researcher, or survivor in order to investigate this responsibly. A careful inquiry can distinguish between documented fact, plausible allegation, contested interpretation, and disinformation. But refusing to ask the question at all would leave one of the darkest possible dimensions of MKULTRA unexplored.

Specifically, Congress should consider whether MKULTRA or related programs studied or used:

1. prolonged captivity, isolation, fear, dependency, or humiliation;
2. hypnosis combined with drugs, pain, exhaustion, or suggestion;
3. sexual exploitation, blackmail environments, or so-called “safe houses”;
4. trauma inflicted on minors or other vulnerable subjects;
5. psychiatric hospitals, universities, military facilities, or private institutions as research sites;
6. programmed triggers such as sounds, phrases, objects, gestures, or ritualized cues;
7. dissociation, ego-state formation, multiple personality, or memory compartmentalization;
8. destruction, concealment, or misclassification of records involving child subjects, trauma victims, psychiatric patients, prisoners, or military personnel.

This line of inquiry also raises a second and even more troubling question: whether some of the darker behavioral-control theories entered American intelligence circles through postwar Nazi, German, or German-linked scientific channels.

After World War II, the United States absorbed German scientists, doctors, intelligence assets, and technical specialists because they were considered useful in the Cold War. The public knows part of this story through rocket science, aerospace research, and intelligence work. But the moral question must be broader than rockets and weapons.

Did any postwar American behavioral-control research inherit methods, personnel, assumptions, or experimental concepts from Nazi medicine, coercive psychiatry, concentration-camp experimentation, or wartime intelligence practice?

The name Josef Mengele should not be invoked carelessly. It should not be used for rhetorical shock. But neither should it be avoided if the subject matter requires it. If methods connected to trauma, dissociation, abuse, and the destruction of human identity migrated into postwar intelligence research, then Congress should know.

The question is not merely whether German scientists helped America build rockets.

The question is whether any darker science of trauma, dissociation, and behavioral control also crossed the Atlantic.

That is the unfinished question.

It is possible that many of the most explosive claims in this field will prove difficult to document because records were destroyed, hidden, misfiled, laundered through contractors, or placed under unrelated program names. That difficulty should not end the inquiry. It should guide it. Surviving financial records, institutional archives, contractor files, university records, psychiatric research papers, military documents, testimony, and cross-referenced program materials may still reveal what official labels conceal.

The Task Force should also consider why this subject has remained so stigmatized. Victims of severe trauma may present fragmented memories, delayed recall, contradictory timelines, dissociated identities, and accounts that sound too horrific to believe. Those very features can be used to discredit them. Yet those same features may be exactly what one would expect if dissociation itself was part of the method being studied or exploited.

This does not mean every allegation is true. It means the matter deserves serious investigation.

If MKULTRA was only about drugs, the surviving record is already disturbing enough. But if trauma-induced dissociation was studied, induced, or weaponized — especially against children, psychiatric patients, prisoners, military personnel, or other vulnerable subjects — then the matter is far darker. It would represent not merely unethical experimentation, but an assault on personhood itself.

For that reason, I respectfully ask the Task Force to broaden the MKULTRA inquiry beyond LSD and hallucinogens. Please examine trauma-based behavioral control, dissociation, child-subject experimentation, psychiatric contracting, safe-house exploitation, hypnosis, behavioral programming, and possible Nazi-continuity evidence as distinct investigative categories.

The American people deserve the truth. The victims deserve more than dismissal. And Congress has a rare opportunity to ask questions that previous investigations may have lacked the records, courage, or mandate to pursue fully.

Respectfully,

S. Douglas Woodward
Author, Descent Into Evil
Lakeville, Minnesota

cc: Dr. Preston Bailey, Ph.D., D.Min.
cc: Ms. Kay Tolman

Attachment A

Proposed Investigative Leads for the MKULTRA Inquiry

Trauma-Based Behavioral Control, Dissociation, and Possible Nazi Continuity

This attachment summarizes a proposed line of inquiry for the Task Force on the Declassification of Federal Secrets. The central question is whether MKULTRA and related programs were concerned not only with LSD, hallucinogens, hypnosis, and truth-serum research, but also with trauma-based behavioral control.

1. The core question

The public record has generally framed MKULTRA as a drug program. That is only part of the story. The broader objective appears to have been behavioral modification: whether memory, identity, loyalty, moral agency, and action could be altered or controlled.

Congress should therefore ask whether intelligence-linked researchers studied, induced, or exploited dissociation — especially under conditions of fear, captivity, abuse, sexual coercion, hypnosis, social dependency, sleep deprivation, drugs, and repeated threat.

2. Why dissociation matters

Dissociation is a psychological survival response to overwhelming trauma. In severe cases, it may involve compartmentalized memory, “missing time,” altered ego states, or Dissociative Identity Disorder, formerly known as Multiple Personality Disorder.

If dissociation can occur naturally under severe trauma, then it is reasonable to ask whether government-linked researchers ever attempted to weaponize that process. This would move the inquiry beyond drugs and into the deliberate fracturing of identity.

3. Vulnerable subjects and institutional settings

Congress should examine whether vulnerable populations were used in behavioral-control research, including:

- children;
- psychiatric patients;
- prisoners;
- military personnel;
- unwitting civilians;
- sexual-exploitation victims;
- persons placed in CIA safe houses, hospitals, universities, military facilities, or contractor-controlled institutions.

The most urgent question is whether minors were ever subjected to trauma, drugs, hypnosis, pain, fear, or sexual abuse in connection with behavioral-modification research.

4. Possible methods requiring investigation

The Task Force should request or subpoena records involving:

- dissociation, multiple personality, ego-state formation, or memory compartmentalization;
- hypnosis combined with drugs, pain, exhaustion, fear, or suggestion;

- programmed triggers such as sounds, phrases, gestures, objects, metronomes, or ritualized cues;
- sexual blackmail operations and safe-house exploitation;
- child-subject experimentation;
- psychiatric, university, military, or private-contractor behavioral research;
- destruction, misclassification, or concealment of records involving trauma victims or minors.

5. Possible Nazi continuity

The Task Force should also investigate whether postwar American behavioral-control research inherited methods, assumptions, personnel, or experimental concepts from Nazi medicine, coercive psychiatry, concentration-camp experimentation, or wartime German intelligence practice.

This question should be asked carefully, but it should not be avoided. The United States absorbed German scientists, doctors, intelligence assets, and technical specialists after World War II. Congress should determine whether that transfer included not merely rocket science and weapons research, but also darker knowledge involving trauma, dissociation, abuse, and the destruction of human identity.

The name Josef Mengele should not be used for rhetorical shock. But if any postwar behavioral-control research drew upon methods associated with Mengele, Nazi medicine, or German trauma experimentation, then Congress should know.

6. Witness and records categories

Potential records or testimony may exist in:

- CIA financial and contractor files;
- surviving MKULTRA subproject records;
- university and hospital archives;
- military research records;
- psychiatric research files;
- survivor testimony;
- records involving safe houses, sexual exploitation, hypnosis, and child subjects;
- postwar intelligence files involving German or Nazi-linked personnel.

Because many MKULTRA records were destroyed, Congress should look beyond program names. Relevant files may have been hidden under medical, psychiatric, military, university, foundation, or contractor labels.

7. Why this matters

If MKULTRA was only about drugs, the surviving record is already appalling. But if trauma-induced dissociation was studied, induced, or weaponized — especially against children or vulnerable subjects — then the matter is far darker.

It would represent not merely unlawful experimentation, but an assault on personhood itself.

For that reason, trauma-based behavioral control, dissociation, child-subject experimentation, and possible Nazi continuity should be treated as distinct investigative categories within the renewed MKULTRA inquiry.

Attachment B

Selected Names, Topics, and Records for Follow-Up

MKULTRA, Dissociation, Trauma-Based Programming, and Nazi Continuity

This attachment identifies selected names, topics, and record categories that may assist congressional staff in pursuing the trauma-based behavioral-control line of inquiry described in the open letter and Attachment A. These items are offered as investigative leads, not as final conclusions.

1. Key CIA and intelligence figures

Sidney Gottlieb
Former head of the CIA's Technical Services Staff and a central figure in MKULTRA. Any renewed inquiry should examine Gottlieb's role in drug testing, behavioral modification, safe-house operations, contractor research, and the destruction or concealment of records.

Richard Helms
Former CIA Director. Helms ordered the destruction of many MKULTRA records in 1973. Surviving financial files, contractor records, institutional archives, and cross-referenced program documents may therefore be especially important.

George White
Federal narcotics officer and CIA-linked safe-house operator. White's role in unwitting drug testing, sexual blackmail environments, and the use of prostitutes to lure targets deserves renewed scrutiny.

Allen Dulles
Former CIA Director. Dulles presided over the early Cold War CIA culture in which covert action, psychological warfare, and deniable programs expanded dramatically. His role in approving, shielding, or enabling behavioral-control research should be reviewed.

2. Researchers, doctors, and institutions

Dr. Louis Jolyon "Jolly" West
Psychiatrist associated with hypnosis, LSD research, behavioral studies, and later commentary on dissociation and coercive identity change. His work and government relationships may be relevant to the transition from drug research to trauma and identity research.

Dr. Sidney Gottlieb-linked contractors and institutions
Congress should identify all universities, hospitals, foundations, prisons, military installations, and private institutions that received MKULTRA or related funds, especially where records involve hypnosis, dissociation, trauma, children, psychiatric patients, or behavioral conditioning.

McGill University / Dr. Ewen Cameron
Although not the only example, Cameron's work at McGill is an important public reference point for extreme psychiatric experimentation, psychic driving, sensory deprivation, and attempts to break down and rebuild personality.

Tulane, Yale, UCLA, Harvard, and other university-linked programs
The Task Force should examine whether any federally funded or intelligence-linked behavioral

research at major universities involved hypnosis, brain stimulation, trauma, dissociation, children, psychiatric patients, or coercive experimentation.

3. Survivor and clinician testimony

Valerie Wolf
Psychologist who testified in the 1990s concerning patients who alleged CIA-linked trauma and programming abuse. Her testimony should be reviewed as part of any inquiry into child subjects, programmed triggers, dissociation, and alleged behavioral-control experimentation.

Claudia Mullen / Claudia Mullens
Survivor-witness who testified concerning alleged childhood abuse, exploitation, and CIA-linked programming. Her testimony should be evaluated carefully and compared against any surviving records, travel logs, institutional files, or names mentioned in the testimony.

D. Corydon Hammond and the "Greenbaum Speech"
Hammond's 1992 lecture, commonly known as the "Greenbaum Speech," became one of the most widely discussed sources on alleged structured programming, dissociation, and ritualized trauma systems. Congress should not treat the speech as settled proof, but it should examine whether the patterns described correspond to any documented research, personnel, institutions, or survivor testimony.

Catherine Gould
Clinical material and conference presentations concerning ritual abuse, mind control, and the "landscape of alters" may be relevant to understanding how trauma survivors describe organized dissociation, programmed reporting, and internalized control systems.

4. Topics requiring focused document searches

Congressional staff should search beyond the term "MKULTRA." Relevant material may appear under other program names, contractor labels, or clinical terminology. Search terms should include:

- behavioral modification;
- dissociation;
- multiple personality;
- Dissociative Identity Disorder;
- ego states;
- hypnosis;
- psychic driving;
- sensory deprivation;
- trauma bonding;
- learned helplessness;
- programmed triggers;
- memory compartmentalization;
- amnesia;
- child subjects;
- psychiatric patients;
- sexual blackmail;
- safe houses;

- unwitting testing;
- interrogation research;
- postwar German scientists;
- Nazi medical research;
- Josef Mengele;
- Paperclip;
- biological and chemical warfare research;
- radiation and human-subject experimentation.

5. Institutional and record categories

Because many MKULTRA records were destroyed, Congress should seek records from overlapping categories:

- CIA financial records and contractor payments;
- Technical Services Staff files;
- Office of Security files;
- military intelligence records;
- Army, Navy, and Air Force behavioral-research files;
- university grant records;
- hospital psychiatric research archives;
- foundation pass-through funding records;
- Bureau of Narcotics / Federal Bureau of Narcotics files;
- safe-house leases, expense records, and operational summaries;
- prison and hospital experimentation records;
- records involving minors or wards of the state;
- Presidential commission files;
- Church Committee records;
- Rockefeller Commission records;
- testimony before the Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments;
- declassified but misindexed intelligence records.

6. Nazi-continuity records

The Task Force should investigate whether behavioral-control research drew upon postwar German, Nazi-linked, or Paperclip-associated personnel or research traditions. This inquiry should include:

- Operation Paperclip personnel files;
- postwar intelligence files involving German doctors, psychiatrists, scientists, or intelligence assets;
- records concerning Josef Mengele's postwar networks and movements;
- U.S. intelligence awareness of Mengele after World War II;
- wartime German medical experimentation records reviewed by U.S. agencies;
- biological, chemical, psychiatric, or interrogation research derived from wartime German sources;
- links between Nazi methods of coercive experimentation and later Cold War behavioral-control programs.

7. Central investigative question

The ultimate question is not simply whether the CIA tested LSD.

The deeper question is whether the United States government, or institutions acting with government funding or protection, studied or exploited trauma as a means of controlling memory, identity, behavior, and obedience.

If the answer is yes, then MKULTRA was not merely a drug scandal. It was a human-fragmentation program. That possibility deserves full congressional investigation.

About the Author

S. Douglas Woodward is a Christian author, researcher, and former director-level executive at Microsoft and Oracle. Holding master's degrees in Finance and Theology, he writes at the intersection of biblical prophecy, spiritual warfare, history, government secrecy, and the struggle between truth and deception in public life.